

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,759		11/29/2001	Peter Larsson	040001-128	2032
27045	7590	03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
ERICSSO:	N INC.		CORRIELUS, JEAN B		
6300 LEGA M/S EVR C		E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, TX 75024			2637	,	
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
Office Antique Course	09/995,759	LARSSON, PETER	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jean B Corrielus	2637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u>	larch 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	vn from consideration. /are rejected. 12 and 33 is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` '				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
•						

Application/Control Number: 09/995,759

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-33 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1, lines 2-3, "with at least one antenna" should be replaced by "with at least two antennas" so as to be consistent with subsequent limitation in line 16 that requires at least two antennas; line 5, "one antenna" should be replaced by "two antennas"; line 6, "mobile" should be replaced by "receiving"; line 14, after branch, "to generate cyclically rotated training sequences p (n-n₁), p (n- n₂)"should be inserted so as to provide proper antecedent basis for subsequent limitation, recited in lines 15-16; line 16, "different" should be replaced by "said at least two"; line 18, after unit, "receiving the cyclically rotated training sequences" should be inserted to provide antecedent basis for recitation in line 18; line 19, "cyclically rotated "should be inserted before transmitted.

Claim 2, line 7, "mobile" should be replaced by "receiving"; line 14, after branch, "to generate cyclically rotated training sequences p (n-n₁), p (n- n₂)" should be inserted so as to provide proper antecedent basis for subsequent limitation, recited in lines 15-16; line 16, "different" should be replaced by "said at least two"; line 18, after unit, "receiving the cyclically rotated training sequences" should be inserted to provide antecedent basis for recitation in line 18.

Claim 16, line 3, "with at least one antenna" should be replaced by "with at least two antennas" so as to be consistent with subsequent limitation in line 16 that requires at least two antennas; line 6, "one antenna" should be replaced by "two antennas"; line

Application/Control Number: 09/995,759

Art Unit: 2637

14, after branch, "to generate cyclically rotated training sequences p (n-n₁), p (n-n₂)" should be inserted so as to provide proper antecedent basis for subsequent limitation, recited in lines 15-16; line 16, "different" should be replaced by "said at least two"; line 18, after unit, "receiving the cyclically rotated training sequences" should be inserted to provide antecedent basis for recitation in line 18; line 19, "cyclically rotated "should be inserted before transmitter; line 19, "transmitter" should be "transmitted". In addition, a goal to a system is set forth in the preamble. However, the body of the claim does not recite any structure relating to the system. The claim recites only a series of steps and appears to be a method claim rather than an apparatus claim.

Claim 20, recites a first step and a second step, however it is noted that claim 20 is an apparatus claim not a method claim. Apparatus type claims do not includes steps.

The same comment applies to claim 21, 28, and 29

Claim 24, line 4, "least one antenna" should be replaced by "least two antennas" so as to be consistent with subsequent limitation in lines 16-17 that requires at least two antennas; lines 6-7, "one antenna" should be replaced by "two antennas"; line 14, after branch, "to generate cyclically rotated training sequences p (n-n₁), p (n- n₂)" should be inserted so as to provide proper antecedent basis for subsequent limitation, recited in lines 15-16; line 16, "different" should be replaced by "said at least two"; line 18, after unit, "receiving the cyclically rotated training sequences" should be inserted to provide antecedent basis for recitation in line 18; line 19, "cyclically rotated "should be inserted before transmitted.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,759

Art Unit: 2637

Claim 32, line 3, "least one antenna" should be replaced by "least two antennas" so as to be consistent with subsequent limitation in line 14 that requires at least two antennas; line 5, "one antenna" should be replaced by "two antennas"; line 12, after branch, "to generate cyclically rotated training sequences p (n-n₁), p (n- n₂)"should be inserted so as to provide proper antecedent basis for subsequent limitation, recited in lines 13-14; line 14, "different" should be replaced by "said at least two"; line 16, after unit, "receiving the cyclically rotated training sequences" should be inserted to provide antecedent basis for recitation in line 16; line 17, "s(n-n₁), s(n-n₂)" should be replaced by "p(n-n₁), p(n-n₂)"; line 17, "cyclically rotated "should be inserted before transmitter; line 1, "transmitter" should be replaced by "transmitted". In addition, a goal to a system is set forth in the preamble. However, the body of the claim does not recite any structure relating to the system. The claim recites only a series of steps and appears to be a method claim rather than an apparatus claim.

Claim 33, lines 2-3, "with at least one antenna" should be replaced by "with at least two antennas" so as to be consistent with subsequent limitation in lines16-17 that requires at least two antennas; lines 6-75, "one antenna" should be replaced by "two antennas"; line 14, after branch, "to generate cyclically rotated training sequences p (n-n₁), p (n-n₂)" should be inserted so as to provide proper antecedent basis for subsequent limitation, recited in lines 15-16; line 16, "different" should be replaced by "said at least two"; line 18, after unit, "receiving the cyclically rotated training sequences" should be inserted to provide antecedent basis for recitation in lines 18-19; line 19, "cyclically rotated "should be inserted before transmitted.

Note that any claim whose base claim is rejected is likewise objected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4, 5, 9, 11-15, 18, 19, 23, 26, 27, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the delay spread" in 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The same comment applies to claims 5 and 27. In addition, the limitation "the distance between each step" is unclear as steps are not known to have distance.

Claim 11, line 3, "the preceding transformation" lacks of proper antecedent basis.

The same comment applies to claim 12.

Claim 14, line 5, "the preceding window" lacks of proper antecedent basis. The same comment applies to claim 15.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the delay spread" in 4. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The same comment applies to claims
19, 26.

As per claim 23, line 2, it is unclear as to what "strongest positions" applicant refers to. The same comment applies to claims 9 and 31.

Note that any claim whose base claim is rejected is likewise rejected

Application/Control Number: 09/995,759 Page 6

Art Unit: 2637

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-33 would be allowable if amended to overcome the 112 rejection and/or claim objection as set forth above.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxi-Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-3086. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean B Corrielus Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2637 5/8 /05